

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,187	09/25/2006	James Van Alstine	PU0418	7600	
22840 GE HEALTHO	7590 04/02/201 CARE BIO-SCIENCES	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 101 CARNEGIE CENTER PRINCETON, NI 08540			CHEU, CH.	CHEU, CHANGHWA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- ,		1641			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

melissa.leck@ge.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,187	VAN ALSTINE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JACOB CHEU	1641	

	JACOB CHEU	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following tire periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 760 07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  a They raise new issues that would require further cor  b They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the see the second see the second s	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	od Con attached Nation of Nau Co		DTOL 224)				
		inpliant Amendment (	F10L-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>To represent the status of the claims, a) [In the status of the claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17.29 and 30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Jacob Cheu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1641					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, Applicant argues that Gagnon does not discuss anythying about the binding capacity, which is the amount of protein that binds to the resin. Applicant also submits that it is indeed possible to have a high retention at the same time as a low capacity (See Remarks at page 7, first paragraphs.)

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive.

Examiner would like to draw Applicant's attention to the specification of this current application at section 0010-0011 (PCP-ublication) where Applicant cites references disclose PEG in combination with chromatography would enhance binding capacity. Moreover, Applicant merely speculate that high retention time may have low binding capacity. In addition, Gagnon et al. disclose that addition of PEG in fact increase the protein retention which is relevant to the binding of protein to the resin column (See Abstract). Absence of concrete evidence to the contrary, the addition of PEG taught by Gagnon may reasonable reach the same binding capacity, e.g. fold, since all the essential elements are disclosed and used in the rejection. One ordinary skill in the field would have reasonable expectation to have the similar results.

Second, Applicant also argues Gagnon et al. teach away of using PEG (see page 7, second paragraph). In view of the Gagnon et al. reference, Gagnon et al. in fact teach PEG is a useful component for enhancing the performance of chromatography, particularly for thoese significant different sizes of overlapping or neighboring proteins (See Conclusion, page 4). One artisan in the art, when viewing the teachings and conclusions, would have optimized the operation of chromatography with addition of PEG.

Taken together, the addition of PEG is known in the art, and would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to combine PEG with other type of chromatography for optimization of separation of proteins.